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OVERVIEW

The information in this document is based on reports filed by State departments and agencies pursuant to Section 396(3) of The Management and Budget Act (Public Act 431 of 1984). Based on the amounts reported, the State of Michigan paid $10,502,317 for judgments and settlements in fiscal year (FY) 2002-03. Of the 97 cases that resulted in payments, 24 were judgments totaling $6,142,395, and 73 were settlements totaling $4,359,922. Payments in FY 2002-03 were $315,706 (3.1%) higher than the $10,186,611 reported in FY 2001-02. The funding sources for payments in FY 2002-03 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State General Fund</td>
<td>$4,970,340</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State School Aid Fund</td>
<td>3,046,081</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>1,085,324</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Restricted Funds</td>
<td>874,810</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>525,762</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,502,317</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables on pages 2, 3, and 4 provide a 10-year history (FY 1993-94 through FY 2002-03) of payments made by the State of Michigan. **Table 1** provides information on total payments (judgments and settlements), **Table 2** lists payments resulting from judgments only, and **Table 3** lists settlements. **Table 4** and the following information provides a summary of departments that made payments totaling over $1,000,000 in FY 2002-03. The FY 2002-03 reports for payments submitted by State departments and agencies follow beginning on page 12. For each payment, the name of the case is listed along with information regarding the funding source, the county where the cause of action arose, and a brief description of the cause of action (summary of case). This report does not include payments resulting from workers' compensation and unemployment claims. **Table 5** on page 9 includes a history of payments from the State's Risk Management Fund for automotive liability. Payments from the Fund are not included in amounts reported by departments.

This year the report also includes a summary of cases that resulted in payments to the State of Michigan. **Table 6** and the following information on pages 10 and 11 provide an overview of amounts recovered by the State.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>$75,800</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td>$100,500</td>
<td>$224,710</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$133,058</td>
<td>$789</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>$116,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>231,467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21,595</td>
<td>153,928</td>
<td>178,460</td>
<td>19,459</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,917,304</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer &amp; Industry Services Corrections</td>
<td>3,554,111</td>
<td>4,969,224</td>
<td>2,581,259</td>
<td>4,639,321</td>
<td>3,227,817</td>
<td>1,780,090</td>
<td>5,465,079</td>
<td>5,371,081</td>
<td>3,380,386</td>
<td>1,788,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>5,629,103</td>
<td>5,333,941</td>
<td>5,331,636</td>
<td>1,878,837</td>
<td>213,832,688</td>
<td>1,705,551</td>
<td>1,628,259</td>
<td>1,368,306</td>
<td>2,123,500</td>
<td>3,046,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Quality</td>
<td>358,459</td>
<td>98,870</td>
<td>2,566</td>
<td>10,998</td>
<td>1,516,756</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>276,893</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Independence Agency</td>
<td>511,587</td>
<td>1,399,850</td>
<td>2,115,076</td>
<td>320,938</td>
<td>107,311</td>
<td>1,520,345</td>
<td>339,422</td>
<td>144,597</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History, Arts, &amp; Libraries Information Technology</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judiciary</td>
<td>248,332</td>
<td>268,250</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>724,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>306,700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of Michigan</td>
<td>1,109,312</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lottery</td>
<td>55,721</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13,420</td>
<td>13,581</td>
<td>17,565</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management &amp; Budget</td>
<td>113,863</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>126,170</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>1,611,654</td>
<td>308,641</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>379,850</td>
<td>515,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Jobs</td>
<td>1,951,024</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>156,239</td>
<td>23,681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission Michigan Strategic Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Affairs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>2,405,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,793</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>6,951,821</td>
<td>2,005,360</td>
<td>93,859,495</td>
<td>3,442,198</td>
<td>2,906,895</td>
<td>1,872,915</td>
<td>17,787,910</td>
<td>299,756</td>
<td>47,177</td>
<td>307,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>38,365</td>
<td>318,959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Services State</td>
<td>274,776</td>
<td>2,727,681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>77,500</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>67,500</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>1,817</td>
<td>101,460</td>
<td>36,400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Police</td>
<td>137,850</td>
<td>1,268,771</td>
<td>1,220,853</td>
<td>873,069</td>
<td>868,141</td>
<td>787,057</td>
<td>513,664</td>
<td>875,572</td>
<td>1,424,855</td>
<td>1,075,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>12,871,557</td>
<td>9,882,412</td>
<td>9,567,095</td>
<td>11,080,416</td>
<td>8,383,808</td>
<td>5,074,922</td>
<td>13,046,755</td>
<td>1,396,360</td>
<td>1,897,922</td>
<td>1,085,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>968,328</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,669</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>107,659</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>269,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$789</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$116,416</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>81,808</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>310,422</td>
<td>21,633</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,025,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer &amp; Industry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>417,304</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections</td>
<td>2,589,407</td>
<td>3,784,529</td>
<td>1,472,162</td>
<td>2,905,509</td>
<td>1,165,825</td>
<td>627,851</td>
<td>428,729</td>
<td>3,106,093</td>
<td>1,067,178</td>
<td>194,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>5,629,103</td>
<td>5,333,941</td>
<td>5,331,636</td>
<td>1,297,349</td>
<td>213,823,188</td>
<td>1,684,540</td>
<td>1,516,756</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Quality</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>239,459</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,566</td>
<td>10,998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Independence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>3,310</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,682</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History, Arts &amp; Libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judiciary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>251,700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of Michigan</td>
<td>1,109,312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lottery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management &amp; Budget</td>
<td>113,863</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>1,611,854</td>
<td>78,641</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Jobs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Strategic Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Affairs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>192,870</td>
<td>242,834</td>
<td>201,811</td>
<td>901,193</td>
<td>2,901,395</td>
<td>409,888</td>
<td>1,537,910</td>
<td>152,162</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>307,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>38,365</td>
<td>60,289</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Services</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>2,137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Police</td>
<td>9,650</td>
<td>762,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,569</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>975,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>5,107,256</td>
<td>1,871,956</td>
<td>661,000</td>
<td>1,331,974</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,875,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>8,328</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,669</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>$15,053,874</td>
<td>$12,103,943</td>
<td>$8,079,568</td>
<td>$6,612,329</td>
<td>$220,396,284</td>
<td>$3,203,699</td>
<td>$8,031,551</td>
<td>$6,757,308</td>
<td>$3,360,209</td>
<td>$6,142,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>$75,800</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td>$100,500</td>
<td>$224,710</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$133,058</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>297,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>231,467</td>
<td>677,838</td>
<td>772,000</td>
<td>2,131,565</td>
<td>349,500</td>
<td>127,455</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>387,500</td>
<td>1,067,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,595</td>
<td>53,928</td>
<td>18,460</td>
<td>19,459</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer &amp; Industry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections</td>
<td>964,704</td>
<td>1,184,695</td>
<td>1,109,097</td>
<td>1,733,812</td>
<td>2,061,992</td>
<td>1,152,239</td>
<td>5,036,350</td>
<td>2,264,988</td>
<td>2,313,208</td>
<td>1,594,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>581,488</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>21,011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Quality</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98,870</td>
<td>119,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Independence</td>
<td>511,587</td>
<td>1,398,784</td>
<td>2,111,766</td>
<td>320,938</td>
<td>106,547</td>
<td>1,520,345</td>
<td>330,740</td>
<td>144,597</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History, Arts &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judiciary</td>
<td>248,332</td>
<td>268,250</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>724,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of Michigan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lottery</td>
<td>55,721</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13,420</td>
<td>13,581</td>
<td>17,565</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management &amp; Budget</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>6,170</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>379,850</td>
<td>515,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156,239</td>
<td>23,681</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Jobs</td>
<td>1,951,024</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Strategic Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Affairs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,793</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>6,758,951</td>
<td>1,762,526</td>
<td>93,657,684</td>
<td>2,541,005</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>1,463,027</td>
<td>16,250,000</td>
<td>147,594</td>
<td>47,177</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>258,670</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Services</td>
<td>273,256</td>
<td>2,725,544</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>67,500</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>1,817</td>
<td>101,460</td>
<td>36,400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Police</td>
<td>128,200</td>
<td>506,271</td>
<td>1,220,853</td>
<td>869,500</td>
<td>868,141</td>
<td>787,057</td>
<td>513,644</td>
<td>875,572</td>
<td>1,424,855</td>
<td>99,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>7,764,301</td>
<td>8,010,456</td>
<td>8,906,095</td>
<td>9,748,442</td>
<td>8,383,808</td>
<td>5,074,922</td>
<td>10,171,755</td>
<td>1,396,360</td>
<td>1,897,922</td>
<td>725,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>960,000</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>107,659</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>269,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $17,746,115 $17,503,303 $106,446,324 $19,282,365 $15,697,279 $9,481,179 $32,503,847 $7,819,652 $6,826,402 $4,359,922
PAYMENTS BY DEPARTMENTS

Five State departments made payments totaling over $1,000,000. Table 4 summarizes the number of cases and payments by department.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>NUMBER OF CASES</th>
<th>PERCENT OF TOTAL</th>
<th>STATE PAYMENTS</th>
<th>PERCENT OF TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY HEALTH</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>$2,092,756</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRECTIONS</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>1,788,948</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3,046,081</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE POLICE</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>1,075,239</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1,085,324</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER DEPARTMENTS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>1,413,969</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong>:</td>
<td><strong>97</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,502,317</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

Payments by the Department of Community Health increased by $1,705,006 from the $387,750 paid in FY 2001-02. Three cases accounted for 85.9% of the amount paid by the Department in FY 2002-03. One case, Berrien Mental Health Authority v MDCH, involving return of Medicaid funds to the Berrien Mental Health Authority, accounted for $997,273 (47.6%) of the reported payments. A negligence/malpractice case against various Northville Psychiatric Hospital doctors, Terrance v Northville, resulted in a $535,000 payment. The other major payment ($266,000 in Thomas v MDCH) involved employment issues including alleged violations of the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act and retaliation. The remaining payments by the Department ranged from $162 to $70,165.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The Department of Corrections made payments in 38 cases totaling $1,788,948. Payments ranged from $100 in three cases involving petitions for review related to major misconduct appeals, to $600,000 in Burke v Miller, a case involving an automobile accident. The total payment in that case was $1,600,000. The State Risk Management Fund paid $1,000,000 of the settlement. Twelve cases alleging employee discrimination resulted in payments totaling $453,140, which represents 25.3% of the total amount paid by the Department of Corrections in FY 2002-03.
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The only payment by the Department of Education related to continuing payments in the Berry v Benton Harbor Area School District desegregation case. The State has been making annual payments resulting from this case since FY 1980-81. The original defendants in the case included the Benton Harbor Area School District, the Coloma School District, the Eau Claire School District, the Berrien County Intermediate School District, the Governor, the Attorney General, the State Board of Education, and the State Superintendent of Public Instruction. The United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division, directed the State of Michigan to pay certain expenses related to the court’s desegregation remedy plan detailed in the court’s order dated May 1, 1981. Most of the payments related to funding to the Benton Harbor Area School District for its resident students who elected to transfer to another school district, and transportation costs. Settlements reached with the Eau Claire School District and the Coloma School District modified the State’s school aid funding obligations to those school districts beginning with FY 1995-96 and in subsequent fiscal years. The United States District Court formally released the Coloma School District, the Eau Claire School District, and the Berrien County Intermediate School District from court jurisdiction in November 2001. On April 4, 2002, the State was dismissed from the case, with a phase-out of payments over a five-year period. Pursuant to the court order, the last year of payments will be FY 2005-06.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

The Department of State Police made payments in 12 cases (two judgments and 10 settlements) totaling $1,075,239. The case of Bascomb v MLEOTC accounted for $970,097 (90.2%) of the total amount paid by the Department in FY 2002-03. The case involved an applicant who alleged he was denied admittance to the police academy due to his handicap. Payments by the Department in other cases ranged from $1,000 to $60,000.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Department of Transportation payments in 13 cases totaled $1,085,324, the lowest annual amount reported by the Department of Transportation in more than 20 years. Two construction lawsuits filed against the Department (Interstate Highway Construction Inc., $360,000, and Reith-Riley Construction Company v MDOT, $231,823) resulted in payments representing 54.5% of total payments made by the Department of Transportation in FY 2002-03.

Ten highway negligence cases accounted for $458,500 of Department of Transportation payments in FY 2002-03. One case, Vonlinsowe v MDOT, included a payment of $315,000, representing 68.7% of the total amount paid for highway negligence cases in FY 2002-03. The remaining nine cases had payments ranging from $2,500 to $35,000.

From FY 1983-84 through FY 2002-03, the State paid $227.4 million resulting from 1,123 highway negligence cases. The $458,500 paid by the Department in FY 2002-03 represents the lowest annual payment amount over that time period. The reduced level of
payments over recent years can be attributed in part to rulings by the Michigan Supreme Court regarding governmental immunity and the statutory highway exception to governmental immunity, MCL 691.1402(1). The consolidated cases of Nawrocki v Macomb County Road Commission and Evens v Shiawassee County Road Commission, 463 Mich 143; 615 NW2d 702 (2000), overturned previous case law and narrowly construed the highway exception to governmental immunity. The Court stated that prior decisions of the Supreme Court improperly broadened the scope of the highway exception. The Supreme Court's opinion (January 3, 2002) in Hanson v Board of County Road Commissioners of the County of Mecosta followed the reasoning in Nawrocki, ruling that the highway exception to governmental immunity does not include a duty to design, or to correct defects arising from the original design or construction of highways. On October 11, 2002, the Michigan Court of Appeals in Adams v MDOT, 253 Mich App 431, ruled that Nawrocki applies retroactively to pending highway negligence cases. A request for leave to appeal in that case was denied by the Michigan Supreme Court. The decision in Adams further reduces the State's potential liability. Figure 1 below shows highway negligence payments attributed to each fiscal year from FY 1983-84 through FY 2002-03.
Figure 1

Highway Negligence Payments
FY 1983-84 Through FY 2002-03
(millions)
RISK MANAGEMENT FUND

The Risk Management Fund was administratively established to account for specific centralized risk management functions performed by the Department of Management and Budget for all State agencies. Effective July 1, 1992, the State became self insured for automotive liability claims. Motor transport charges to State departments and agencies include a cost associated with projected automotive liability. This amount is deposited in the State’s Risk Management Fund. Automotive liability payments that do not exceed $1,000,000 are paid from the Fund. Table 5 provides a history of payments from the Fund. Amounts are reported based on the fiscal year in which the payment was made, and therefore do not necessarily reflect the year in which the liability occurred.

Table 5
RISK MANAGEMENT FUND
AUTOMOTIVE LIABILITY PAYMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1991-92</td>
<td>$28,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1992-93</td>
<td>$138,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1993-94</td>
<td>$435,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1994-95</td>
<td>$1,135,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1995-96</td>
<td>$1,977,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1996-97</td>
<td>$1,743,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1997-98</td>
<td>$1,223,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1998-99</td>
<td>$3,985,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1999-2000</td>
<td>$775,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2000-01</td>
<td>$2,590,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2001-02</td>
<td>$1,780,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2002-03</td>
<td>$2,487,429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PAYMENTS TO THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

The following table provides a summary of FY 2002-03 lawsuit payments to the State as reported by Departments pursuant to Section 396(3) of the Management and Budget Act:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>FY 2002-03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>$13,353,686.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health</td>
<td>14,938,430.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer &amp; Industry Services</td>
<td>29,225,052.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections</td>
<td>1,061,547.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Quality</td>
<td>10,335,479.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Independence Agency</td>
<td>82,195.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>1,620,307.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>318,454,887.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$389,074,085.03</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Department of Attorney General**
Of the amount reported by the Department of Attorney General, $10 million was from Bascomb v Hoffman-La Roche. The case involved antitrust violation (price fixing) claims against multiple manufacturers of vitamin products. Another antitrust case (Mylan Laboratories) resulted in a payment to the State of over $700,000.

**Community Health**
All of the payments to the State reported by the Department of Community Health resulted from Medicaid subrogation cases.
Consumer and Industry Services
Two cases were listed by the Department of Consumer and Industry Services. Michigan Household/Beneficial Customers included a $15.7 million distribution plan for payments to borrowers who were subject to specific unfair lending practices and a $13.5 million payment to the State from a securities case involving unfair business practices.

Corrections
All of the payments listed by the Department of Corrections were cases filed under the Prisoner Reimbursement Act. There were 139 cases, most of which included payments in amounts less than $10,000. Ninety-two cases had payments of less than $5,000. Twenty-six cases with payments of $10,000 or more accounted for 70 percent of the total payments. Payments ranged from $69.34 to $120,000.

Environmental Quality
There were over 160 cases reported by Department of Environmental Quality. Most of the cases involved reimbursement for environmental contamination.

Family Independence Agency
The Family Independence Agency reported 18 cases, all involving the issue of blocking bankruptcy discharge of welfare debt.

Natural Resources
The Department of Natural Resources reported one case involving unauthorized activities without a permit that resulted in damage to a fisheries habitat.

Transportation
Payments to the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) included 10 cases totaling $394,213.74 for damage to roads and traffic control devices, $240,110 for a breach of contract case, $26,750 for five land contract forfeiture cases, and $959,234 from one case involving indemnification to MDOT from a design consultant for lawsuit payments that MDOT made to a contractor.

Treasury
The amount listed by the Department of Treasury reflects FY 2003-04 payments to the State resulting from the Master Tobacco Settlement Agreement.
# FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

**DEPARTMENT:** AGRICULTURE

## FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Esky Fasttrack Corporation v Michigan</td>
<td>$116,415.52</td>
<td>$20,415.52</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Plaintiff was locked out of leased premises.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$116,415.52</td>
<td>$20,415.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME OF CASE</td>
<td>AMOUNT PAID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willis v Department of Attorney General</td>
<td>$297,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:**

$297,000.00 $0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berrien Mental Health Authority v MDCH</td>
<td>$997,273.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$471,510.67 General Fund</td>
<td>Berrien</td>
<td>Return of Medicaid funds to Berrien Mental Health Authority for services to Medicaid eligible individuals suffering mental illness, developmental disabilities and addiction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$525,762.33 Federal Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Free Press v MDCH</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Freedom of Information Act case awarding fees and costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Free Press v MDCH</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Freedom of Information Act case awarding fees and costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $1,025,273.00 $0
### FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

**DEPARTMENT:** COMMUNITY HEALTH

**FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jay Q. Thomas v MDCH</td>
<td>$266,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Washtenaw</td>
<td>Various employment issues; alleged violations of the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, retaliation against employee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William McCarty Trust v SOM, DMH</td>
<td>8,177.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Breach of contract, allegedly DCH would not pay for repairs made to a group home under lease with the department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Meadlock v SOM, DMH</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Breach of contract, allegedly DCH would not pay for repairs made to a group home under lease with the department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugene Terrance v Northville</td>
<td>535,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne/US Dist.</td>
<td>Negligence and malpractice against various Northville Psychiatric Hospital doctors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Silverman v James Haveman, et al.</td>
<td>162.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Administrative appeal of provider hearing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northland Family Planning v Olszewski, et al.</td>
<td>24,979.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>This civil rights action challenged the constitutionality of Public Act 685 of 2002, amending Michigan Compiled Laws §§ 333.16299, 333.17014, and 333.17015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Touchtone, et al v MacKinnon, D.D., et al.</td>
<td>55,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Midland</td>
<td>Complaint to recover Medicaid as a result of medical malpractice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bashar Abuali v SOM, et al.</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Washtenaw</td>
<td>Employee Abuali was involved with altercation with patient at Forensic Center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markva v James K. Haveman, Jr., et al.</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Bay</td>
<td>Class action lawsuit by caretaker relatives of Medicaid clients.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $1,067,483.33 $0
### FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

**DEPARTMENT:** CONSUMER & INDUSTRY SERVICES AND UNEMPLOYMENT AGENCY

**FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Funeral Directors Association v State of Michigan</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Licensing and Regulation Fees</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Freedom of Information Act related.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:**

$3,000.00  $0
### FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

#### DEPARTMENT: CORRECTIONS

##### FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blevins, William v MDOC</td>
<td>$140.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Petition for Review - Major Misconduct Appeal. Order for costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason, Boyd Patrick v Michigan Parole Board</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Grand Traverse</td>
<td>Prisoner Parole Appeal. Order for costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton, Gerald, v Fabian LaVigne, et al.</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>Property-General. Claimed hobbycraft items were confiscated and disposed of before administrative remedies were exhausted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitt, Ernest v MDOC</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Petition for Review - Major Misconduct Appeal. Order for costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurston, George v MDOC</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Grand Traverse</td>
<td>Petition for Review - Major Misconduct Appeal. Order for costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jemison, Charles v Joan Yukins</td>
<td>77,811.31</td>
<td>12,811.31</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Employee-Other. Community college instructor at Scott Correctional Facility sued over stop order where facility had not investigated claims of inmate relationship. Judgment plus interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sallier, Blaine v Joe Scott, et al.</td>
<td>8,687.00</td>
<td>1,187.00</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Mail-Legal. Improper handling of legal mail. Judgment, attorney fees, and interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart, Lionel v MDOC</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Petition for Review - Major Misconduct Appeal. Order for costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thies, Philip v MDOC</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>Petition for review. Appeal of Special Designation. Order for costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, Timothy v Parole Board</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Prisoner Parole Appeal. Order for costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $194,054.17  $19,293.96
### FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

#### CORRECTIONS

**FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bey, Ronald Simpson v Martin, et al.</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Harassment. Random acts of retaliation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley, James v MDOC</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Employee Other. Sexual harassment, hostile work environment – male employee, female supervisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke, Dustin v George Miller¹</td>
<td>600,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ionia</td>
<td>Personal Injury-Public. Plaintiff injured in auto accident with a State vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson, Susan v MDOC</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Arenac</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination, Hostile Work Environment. Retaliation for filing harassment complaint and for information provided during an investigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eboh, Silas v MDOC</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination. Black male employee claimed racial/ethnic/national origin/color discrimination assault and battery due to a full body search for drugs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gere, Marcus v Wesley Priest, et al.</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Branch</td>
<td>Religion. Prisoner claimed that defendants prevented him from practicing his religion (Wiccan) when they refused to allow him to obtain copies of his religious literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard, Dwayne v Bill Martin, et al.</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Tuscola</td>
<td>Transfer-General. Claimed that his physical handicap (loss of left leg) should have exempted him from being transferred to Virginia DOC under contract with MDOC. Judgment and attorney fees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The total payment in this case was $1,600,000. The State’s Risk Management Fund for automotive liability payments paid $1,000,000 in this case, which is included on page 9 of this report.
### FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

#### DEPARTMENT: CORRECTIONS

#### FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauer, Ray v Becky Schef, et al.</td>
<td>$16,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Saginaw</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination. Male employee claimed he was terminated based on a work-related medical condition and discrimination due to a disability (abdominal hernia).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key, David G. v Henry Grayson, et al.</td>
<td>127,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Programming. Claimed denial of Sexual Offender Treatment was due to hearing impairment and that without it he would be denied parole – ADA (attorney fees).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeMarbe, Richard v Cotton Correctional Facility, et al.</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Medical. Claimed that failure to provide him a low fat diet resulted in chronic gall bladder condition requiring surgery in 1996; and that surgery was inadequately performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawson, Mark v MDOC</td>
<td>19,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination. Storekeeper claimed he was forced to resign on 8/3/00 due to sex and race discrimination and hostile work environment. Claimed defamation (falsely accused of rule violations) and tortuous business relations (interference with prospective employment by false statements).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manning, Corey v John Perry, et al.</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Baraga</td>
<td>Harassment. Claimed harassment in retaliation for filing grievance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesack, Dallas II v MDOC</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination. Claimed subject to racial remarks, discipline and investigation due to race.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyers, Laura v MDOC</td>
<td>162,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination (Race, Gender, Ethnic). Claimed discrimination based on race, gender, and ethnic background after reporting a supervisor’s misconduct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad, Norman v MDOC, et al.</td>
<td>29,890.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Gratiot</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination. Claimed harassment and discrimination based on race and religion caused “constructive” discharge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME OF CASE</td>
<td>AMOUNT PAID</td>
<td>INTEREST</td>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF CASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearce, Sharon v Ollie Boyd, et al.</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Employee-Other. Claimed violation of WPA (Whistle Blowers Protection Act) because she was exposed to a hostile work environment after reporting a coworker's violation of work rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peck, Sherry v MDOC</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>Discrimination. Female claimed less qualified male hired and wrongful discharge from position in retaliation of complaint against a supervisor's spouse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphael-X v Bobo, et al.</td>
<td>349.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Discrimination. Prisoner claimed his classification to administrative segregation was only because of his religion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reilly, Jamie v Henry Grayson, et al.</td>
<td>17,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Conditions of Confinement. Claimed exposure to second-hand smoke (appellate attorney fees).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutledge-Jonas, Jeanet v MDOC and Guana</td>
<td>42,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Sexual Harassment, Hostile Work Environment, and Retaliation. Claimed assault by another employee and lack of action by MDOC in response to reports of harassment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanders, Marvin v Robert Brown, et al.</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Conditions of Confinement. Claimed he was left naked and not fed when placed in administrative segregation after he started fires in the housing unit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schaefer, Kathleen v MDOC, et al.</td>
<td>$145,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>Employee Discrimination. Claimed she was reassigned and demoted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schreiber, John, Estate of v Frank Foguth, et al.</td>
<td>145,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Crawford</td>
<td>Personal Injury – Prisoner. Prisoner committed suicide while at Crawford County Jail after Community Residential Program violation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shabazz, Khalil Malik v Garland Raines</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Manistee</td>
<td>Harassment. Claimed race and religion discrimination and retaliation after complaining about food quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granholm, Jennifer M., et al. v MDOC</td>
<td>7,015.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Enterprise Fund</td>
<td>Allegan</td>
<td>Sunrise Landfill – assessment to implement the principal construction activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:**  $1,594,893.75 $0
### FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berry v Benton Harbor</td>
<td>$3,046,081.24</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>School Aid Fund</td>
<td>Berrien</td>
<td>School Desegregation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:**

- **Total Amount Paid**: $3,046,081.24
- **Total Interest**: $0
## FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

**DEPARTMENT:** ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granholm v Clark Refining and Marketing, Inc.</td>
<td>$114,892.72</td>
<td>$42,188.03</td>
<td>Underground Storage Tank Assurance Fund</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Cost Recovery Action filed under the former Leaking Underground Storage Tank Act. State appealed the Circuit Court decision. Judgment for State was $189,731.31, and the defendant was awarded mediation sanctions and attorney fees of $304,624.03. The difference totaled $114,892.72.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $114,892.72 $42,188.03
### FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MacKenzie Environmental Services v State of Michigan</td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Cleanup Redevelopment Fund</td>
<td>Saginaw</td>
<td>Contract Dispute. Settlement agreement and release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacKenzie Environmental Services v State of Michigan</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cleanup Redevelopment Fund</td>
<td>Kalamazoo</td>
<td>Contract Dispute. Settlement agreement and release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacKenzie Environmental Services v State of Michigan</td>
<td>90,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Clean Michigan Initiative</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Contract Dispute. Settlement agreement and release.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $162,000.00 $0
### FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

**DEPARTMENT:** FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY

**FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kordan, Robert v FIA</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Iosco</td>
<td>Appeal of decision regarding reduction of food stamp benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puente, Kaela M.</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>Contested denial of consent to adopt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slocum, Emily Rose</td>
<td>5,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>Contested denial of consent to adopt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frens, Gregory v FIA</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Enforcement of arbitration award.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrow, Joseph &amp; Allison, In matter of</td>
<td>4,356.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Allegan</td>
<td>Payment of services of therapist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kallio, Angel</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Payment of cost of child care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markva, Richard &amp; Deanna v DCH</td>
<td>12,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Medicaid caretaker relatives income pro ration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White v FIA</td>
<td>17,491.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td>Elliott Larsen civil rights claim.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $144,597.50 $0
## FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

**DEPARTMENT:** NATURAL RESOURCES

### FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada Creek Ranch (CCR)</td>
<td>$206,919.88</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Natural Resources Trust Fund</td>
<td>Montmorency</td>
<td>Quiet Title action where the Court had determined that CCR, not the State, held mineral ownership involving certain parcels of land. The parcels had been leased by the State and some mineral production started, which resulted in the Bonus, Rent, and Royalty received by the State being refunded to the Lessee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnuk v MDNR, SOM</td>
<td>100,143.00</td>
<td>143.00</td>
<td>Waterways Fund</td>
<td>St. Clair</td>
<td>Wnuk acknowledged, to release, acquit, and forever discharge the MDNR from any and all actions, cease of actions, claimed, demands, damages, costs, and compensation arising from or connected with the alleged violation of the building restriction by the construction and operation of the present boat launch facility located in the Silver Shores Subdivision.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $307,062.88 $143.00
### FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ligori v MSP</td>
<td>$5,518.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>Plaintiff claimed he properly requested documents under Freedom of Information Act and that Defendant refused his request stating he did not provide his date of birth, which he claimed he did.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bascomb v MLEOTC</td>
<td>970,097.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Plaintiff is a diabetic and claimed he was denied attendance to the police academy because of his handicap.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:**  
$975,615.10  
$0
## FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

### DEPARTMENT: STATE POLICE

### FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirschweng v Davenport</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Plaintiff claimed while he was a patron at the MGM Casino, he was physically assaulted and battered by Defendant, which resulted in physical and emotional suffering, humiliation, embarrassment, shame, pain, and suffering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaled v MSP, et al.</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Plaintiffs claimed defendants broke into their home, rousted them from their beds, showers, etc., and caused the females to stand naked in the living room while they searched the wrong home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham v Trooper Cook</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Plaintiff claimed Defendant pulled him over, handcuffed him and for no justifiable reason and without just cause, defendant slammed plaintiff's face into the roof of the patrol car and when he protested, defendant assaulted and battered him.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez v City of Detroit, et al.</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Plaintiffs claimed while executing a search warrant at their home, defendants forced Noemi on the floor and after being told she was pregnant, struck her in the back resulting in severe pain in her back and stomach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanton v MSP</td>
<td>1,374.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Calhoun</td>
<td>Plaintiff wanted MSP to pay for her dental repair because she sustained damage to her teeth during the arrest of her husband.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME OF CASE</td>
<td>AMOUNT PAID</td>
<td>INTEREST</td>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF CASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigg v Huber</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Gladwin</td>
<td>Plaintiff alleged that he requested to go back inside his home to retrieve keys to a storage shed to retrieve property for Defendant, when Defendant threw him off his stoop causing him to land on his face and then proceeded to beat him.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desilets v MSP, et al.</td>
<td>60,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>St. Clair</td>
<td>Plaintiff claimed while in custody of Defendants who were transporting him, Defendants asked him to submit to a breathalyzer and he refused. Plaintiff claimed one of the Defendants punched him in the face, breaking his nose, while he was still handcuffed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews v SOM, et al.</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Plaintiff suffers from a birth defect in his right ear which prevented him from scoring 90% or better on the MCOLES hearing test and he claimed Defendants were discriminating against him by failing him on the hearing test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanna &amp; Disho v Taylor, et al.</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>Plaintiffs claimed Defendants executed a search warrant on their home breaking into their home with great force and violence, knocking them to the ground, forcing them on the ground or into a secluded area, resulting in assault and battery and the Defendants executed this at the wrong address.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuller v Davis, et al.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Plaintiffs claimed Defendants conducted a warrantless arrest, used excessive force, physical brutality, unreasonable force, badgered, racially harassed, assaulted, battered and without probable cause placed them under arrest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $99,624.00

**INTEREST:** $0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clark v MDOT</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Roadbed defect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fawley v MDOT</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Loose manhole cover.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammoud v MDOT</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Potholes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lila v MDOT</td>
<td>24,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Pavement defect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purte1 v MDOT</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Roscommon</td>
<td>Ruts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart v MDOT</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Hole in roadbed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vonlinsowe v MDOT</td>
<td>315,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Roadbed defect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson v MDOT</td>
<td>17,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Potholes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witherspoon v MDOT</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Roadbed defect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang v MDOT</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Water on roadway.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY 2002-03 TOTAL: $458,500.00 $0
### FORM 1: JUDGMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate Highway Construction, Inc.</td>
<td>$360,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Branch</td>
<td>Contractor sued for breach of contract alleging MDOT wrongfully eliminated the completion of the item of work: Ride Quality Measurement, and failed to compensate it at the unit price bid, for the work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:**

| $360,000.00 | $0 |
### FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

**DEPARTMENT:** TRANSPORTATION – OTHER CASES

#### FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midwest Bridge Company, et al. v State of Michigan and MDOT</td>
<td>$35,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>Contractor and subcontractor sued alleging subcontractor was required to perform additional work not included in the contract specifications and that MDOT failed to compensate them for their work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reith-Riley Construction Company, Inc. v MDOT</td>
<td>$231,823.58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Trunkline Fund</td>
<td>Oceola</td>
<td>Contractor alleged it was required to remove and replace top course due to rutting and flushing in the finished pavement, which it alleged resulted from latent defects in MDOT’s specifications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $266,823.58 $0
## FORM 2: SETTLEMENTS PAID BY THE STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>AMOUNT PAID</th>
<th>INTEREST</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF CASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karen Sue Reiss, et al. v Michigan</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Delinquent Tax</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Claimed disability discrimination in violation of the Persons with Disabilities Civil Rights Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Jeindl v Department of Treasury</td>
<td>89,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Michigan Education Trust Fund</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>Claimed reverse discrimination in violation of Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baybank v Departments of Treasury and Natural Resources</td>
<td>0 ¹)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Land Reutilization Fund</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Tax Reversion Settlement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹) Vendor reimbursed State $32,575 for costs pursuant to contract.

**FY 2002-03 TOTAL:** $269,000.00 $0
# RECENT SENATE FISCAL AGENCY REPORTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“FY 2004-05 APPROPRIATIONS REPORT PART 1 - GOVERNOR’S RECOMMENDATIONS”</td>
<td>March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“MICHIGAN’S ECONOMIC OUTLOOK AND BUDGET REVIEW ISSUE 2004-1”</td>
<td>January, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“FY 2003-04 APPROPRIATIONS REPORT PART II - INITIAL APPROPRIATIONS”</td>
<td>September, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“U.S. AND MICHIGAN MOTOR VEHICLE STATISTICAL REPORT”</td>
<td>September, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“DEVELOPMENTS IN FUEL CELL TECHNOLOGY”</td>
<td>June, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“FUNDING COMMUNITY COLLEGES - THE RELATIONSHIP AMONG APPROPRIATIONS, TUITION AND ENROLLMENT”</td>
<td>by Mike Hansen March, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“FY 2001-02 APPROPRIATIONS REPORT - YEAR-END APPROPRIATIONS”</td>
<td>March, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“THE MICHIGAN SCHOOL AID ACT COMPILED AND APPENDICES”</td>
<td>January, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“MICHIGAN’S ECONOMIC OUTLOOK AND BUDGET REVIEW - ISSUE 2002-2”</td>
<td>December 19, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“FISCAL YEAR 2003-04 HIGHER EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS REPORT”</td>
<td>October, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ADDRESSING THE TEACHER SHORTAGE: A SYNOPSIS OF STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION”</td>
<td>by Claire Layman September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“BOVINE TUBERCULOSIS ERADICATION IN MICHIGAN - UPDATE”</td>
<td>August, 2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# RECURRING SENATE FISCAL AGENCY REPORTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriations Report - Governor’s Recommendations</td>
<td>Produced Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriations Report - Initial Appropriations</td>
<td>Produced Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriations Report - Year-End Appropriations</td>
<td>Produced Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated State Spending by County</td>
<td>Produced Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of Lawsuits Against the State</td>
<td>Produced Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education Appropriations Report</td>
<td>Produced Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan’s Economic Outlook and Budget Review</td>
<td>Produced Twice a Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Revenue Report</td>
<td>Produced Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Economic Indicators</td>
<td>Produced Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Notes: Topics of Legislative Interest</td>
<td>Produced Six Times a Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. and Michigan Motor Vehicle Statistical Report</td>
<td>Produced Annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>