



**COMMUNITY COLLEGES
H.B. 4435**

09/21/2009
Analyst: Bill Bowerman
Phone: 3-2768

FULL-TIME EQUATED (FTE) POSITIONS/ FUNDING SOURCE	FY 2008-09 YEAR-TO-DATE	FY 2009-10 GOV'S REC.	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	FY 2009-10	CHANGES FROM FY 2008-09 YEAR-TO-DATE				
			SENATE PASSED	HOUSE PASSED	CONFERENCE / ENROLLED	GOVERNOR AMOUNT	SENATE AMOUNT	HOUSE AMOUNT	CONF/ENRLD AMOUNT	
FTE Positions.....	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GROSS.....	299,360,500	299,360,500	295,880,500	396,360,500	299,360,500	0	(3,480,000)	97,000,000	0	0
Less:										
Interdepartmental Grants Received.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ADJUSTED GROSS.....	299,360,500	299,360,500	295,880,500	396,360,500	299,360,500	0	(3,480,000)	97,000,000	0	0
Less:										
Federal Funds.....	0	0	0	97,000,000	0	0	0	97,000,000	0	0
Local and Private.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL STATE SPENDING.....	299,360,500	299,360,500	295,880,500	299,360,500	299,360,500	0	(3,480,000)	0	0	0
Less:										
Other State Restricted Funds.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GENERAL FUND/GENERAL PURPOSE.....	299,360,500	299,360,500	295,880,500	299,360,500	299,360,500	0	(3,480,000)	0	0	0
PAYMENTS TO LOCALS.....	299,360,500	299,360,500	295,880,500	299,360,500	299,360,500	0	(3,480,000)	0	0	0



House Bill 4435: FY 2009-10 Community College Appropriation Bill

College	FY 2008-09 Year-To-Date			FY 2009-10 House			FY 2009-10 Senate			FY 2009-10 Conference		
		Governor's Rec.	Percent Change	NAITW*/ Stimulus	House Passed	Percent Change	Adjustments	Senate Passed	Percent Change	Total Adjustments	Percent Change	Total Appropriation
Alpena	5,126,100	5,126,100	0.0%	(7,900)	5,118,200	-0.2%	0	5,126,100	0.0%	0	0.0%	5,126,100
Bay de Noc	5,178,400	5,178,400	0.0%	500	5,178,900	0.0%	0	5,178,400	0.0%	0	0.0%	5,178,400
Delta	13,751,600	13,751,600	0.0%	(49,400)	13,702,200	-0.4%	0	13,751,600	0.0%	0	0.0%	13,751,600
Glen Oaks	2,304,800	2,304,800	0.0%	(8,700)	2,296,100	-0.4%	0	2,304,800	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,304,800
Gogebic	4,275,200	4,275,200	0.0%	5,300	4,280,500	0.1%	0	4,275,200	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,275,200
Grand Rapids	17,219,800	17,219,800	0.0%	52,300	17,272,100	0.3%	0	17,219,800	0.0%	0	0.0%	17,219,800
Henry Ford	20,898,900	20,898,900	0.0%	(64,800)	20,834,100	-0.3%	0	20,898,900	0.0%	0	0.0%	20,898,900
Jackson	11,542,300	11,542,300	0.0%	(16,300)	11,526,000	-0.1%	0	11,542,300	0.0%	0	0.0%	11,542,300
Kalamazoo Valley	11,888,600	11,888,600	0.0%	(22,500)	11,866,100	-0.2%	0	11,888,600	0.0%	0	0.0%	11,888,600
Kellogg	9,311,800	9,311,800	0.0%	(10,900)	9,300,900	-0.1%	0	9,311,800	0.0%	0	0.0%	9,311,800
Kirtland	2,842,800	2,842,800	0.0%	1,000	2,843,800	0.0%	0	2,842,800	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,842,800
Lake Michigan	5,012,100	5,012,100	0.0%	(7,400)	5,004,700	-0.1%	0	5,012,100	0.0%	0	0.0%	5,012,100
Lansing	29,762,500	29,762,500	0.0%	(7,000)	29,755,500	-0.0%	0	29,762,500	0.0%	0	0.0%	29,762,500
Macomb	31,773,900	31,773,900	0.0%	(106,800)	31,667,100	-0.3%	0	31,773,900	0.0%	0	0.0%	31,773,900
Mid Michigan	4,289,200	4,289,200	0.0%	127,600	4,416,800	3.0%	0	4,289,200	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,289,200
Monroe	4,142,800	4,142,800	0.0%	800	4,143,600	0.0%	0	4,142,800	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,142,800
Montcalm	2,981,600	2,981,600	0.0%	700	2,982,300	0.0%	0	2,981,600	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,981,600
Mott	15,016,400	15,016,400	0.0%	(21,200)	14,995,200	-0.1%	0	15,016,400	0.0%	0	0.0%	15,016,400
Muskegon	8,518,600	8,518,600	0.0%	15,200	8,533,800	0.2%	0	8,518,600	0.0%	0	0.0%	8,518,600
North Central	2,893,600	2,893,600	0.0%	104,900	2,998,500	3.6%	0	2,893,600	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,893,600
Northwestern	8,682,000	8,682,000	0.0%	104,600	8,786,600	1.2%	0	8,682,000	0.0%	0	0.0%	8,682,000
Oakland	20,133,700	20,133,700	0.0%	(50,200)	20,083,500	-0.2%	0	20,133,700	0.0%	0	0.0%	20,133,700
St. Clair	6,729,800	6,729,800	0.0%	(2,600)	6,727,200	-0.0%	0	6,729,800	0.0%	0	0.0%	6,729,800
Schoolcraft	11,767,000	11,767,000	0.0%	600	11,767,600	0.0%	0	11,767,000	0.0%	0	0.0%	11,767,000
Southwestern	6,276,900	6,276,900	0.0%	(15,600)	6,261,300	-0.2%	0	6,276,900	0.0%	0	0.0%	6,276,900
Washtenaw	12,149,000	12,149,000	0.0%	(3,000)	12,146,000	-0.0%	0	12,149,000	0.0%	0	0.0%	12,149,000
Wayne County	15,889,900	15,889,900	0.0%	(41,200)	15,848,700	-0.3%	0	15,889,900	0.0%	0	0.0%	15,889,900
West Shore	2,198,500	2,198,500	0.0%	22,000	2,220,500	1.0%	0	2,198,500	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,198,500
SUBTOTAL OPERATIONS:	\$292,557,800	\$292,557,800	0.0%	\$0	\$292,557,800	0.0%	\$0	\$292,557,800	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$292,557,800
At Risk	3,322,700	3,322,700	0.0%	0	3,322,700	0.0%	0	3,322,700	0.0%	0	0.0%	3,322,700
Renaissance Zone	3,480,000	3,480,000	0.0%		3,480,000	0.0%	(3,480,000)	0	-100.0%	0	0.0%	3,480,000
Workforce Development Grants (ARRA)	0	0	0.0%	97,000,000	97,000,000	--	0	0	--	0	0.0%	0
TOTAL APPROPRIATION:	\$299,360,500	\$299,360,500	0.0%	\$97,000,000	\$396,360,500	32.4%	(\$3,480,000)	\$295,880,500	-1.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$299,360,500
Federal Stimulus Funds (ARRA)	0	0	0.0%	97,000,000	97,000,000	--	0		0.0%	0	0.0%	
GF/GP	\$299,360,500	\$299,360,500	0.0%	0	\$299,360,500	0.0%	(\$3,480,000)	\$295,880,500	-1.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$299,360,500

*NAITW: North American Indian Tuition Waiver

COMMUNITY COLLEGES

LANGUAGE SECTIONS: ITEMS OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HOUSE AND SENATE

	FY 2008-09 Year-To-Date P.A. 255 of 2008	FY 2009-10			
		<u>Governor</u>	<u>House</u>	<u>Senate</u>	<u>Conference</u>
1. Parity to Higher Education Budget. States legislative intent that any executive or legislative proposal or action, subsequent to the adoption of a recommendation for appropriations for community colleges for the fiscal year, to increase appropriations to state-supported 4-year universities in excess of the governor's original recommendation for the fiscal year, will be accompanied by a similar action or proposal for state-supported community colleges.	Sec. 239	Not Included	Sec. 239	Not Included	Not Included
2. Tuition Restraint. The Governor included new language stating: "It is expected that a public university or community college receiving federal stimulus funds will not raise tuition and fees charged to Michigan residents for the remainder of 2008-2009 and for 2009-2010." The House changed that language by stating that it is legislative intent that in-district tuition and fees for FY 2009-2010 will not be raised by more than the annual average percentage increase in the US CPI plus 0.5 percent as a condition of receiving stimulus funds appropriated in this bill. The Conference replaced this section with language stating: "It is the intent of the legislature to acknowledge the community colleges' success at providing an affordable quality education during these tough economic times and to encourage each community college to continue to make every effort possible not to raise in-district tuition and fees charged to Michigan residents in the 2009-2010 academic year by more than the annual average percentage increase in the United State consumer price index in the immediately preceding academic year, plus 0.5%"	Not Included	Sec. 248	Sec. 248 Modified	Not Included	Sec. 248 Modified

COMMUNITY COLLEGES

LANGUAGE SECTIONS: ITEMS OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HOUSE AND SENATE

	FY 2008-09 Year-To-Date P.A. 255 of 2008	FY 2009-10			
		<u>Governor</u>	<u>House</u>	<u>Senate</u>	<u>Conference</u>
3. District Consolidation. The House added new language stating legislative intent to study the feasibility of legislation providing rules and guidelines for a path to consolidation of community college districts and the creation of inter-college agreements. The legislature also intends to study the feasibility of appropriating additional funds to districts that have a local property tax to provide incentives to enter into those agreements. The Senate replaced this section with language that encourages community colleges to achieve efficiencies through joint ventures, collaborations, adjusting the size and frequency of classes, web-based instruction, consolidation of services, and coordinating and sharing proposed capital outlay improvements. The Conference concurred with the Senate.	Not Included	Not Included	Sec. 249	Sec. 249 Modified	Sec. 249 Modified
4. Additional Funding. Provides that If additional funding becomes available for community college operations, that additional funding shall first be allocated to colleges that incurred the highest percentage reduction due to the elimination of renaissance zone reimbursements. As used in this section, percentage reduction means renaissance zone payment as a percentage of state funding for college operations.	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included	Sec. 250	Not Included
5. Part-time Faculty. The House added language stating that It was the intent of the legislature that the Michigan Community College Association, the Legislature, and other interested parties begin a discussion regarding part-time faculty pay, benefits, and job security. Specific attention should be paid to part-time faculty instructing at multiple institutions having the equivalent of a full-time academic workload.	Not Included	Not Included	Sec. 251	Not Included	Not Included

COMMUNITY COLLEGES

LANGUAGE SECTIONS: ITEMS OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HOUSE AND SENATE

		<u>FY 2009-10</u>				
		<u>FY 2008-09</u> <u>Year-To-Date</u> <u>P.A. 255 of 2008</u>	<u>Governor</u>	<u>House</u>	<u>Senate</u>	<u>Conference</u>
6.	Renaissance Zone Reimbursements. Provides that renaissance zone reimbursements shall be made to each eligible recipient no later than 60 days after the department of treasury certifies to the state budget director that it has received all necessary information to properly determine the amounts due each eligible recipient under section 12 of the Michigan renaissance zone act (1996 PA 376).	Sec. 404	Sec. 404	Sec. 404	Not Included	Sec. 404
7.	Federal Stimulus Funds. The House added language stating that the \$97 million in state fiscal stabilization funding appropriated in Part 1 shall be distributed pursuant to the Performance Indicators Task Force Formula and used for workforce development, job creation, and worker retraining. Sets criteria and provides for report. The Conference replaced this section with language stating: "A community college receiving funds under this act shall cooperate with the State to comply with the provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009...requiring the establishment of a statewide P-20 Longitudinal Data System."	Not Included	Not Included	Sec. 405	Not Included	Sec. 405

COMMUNITY COLLEGES

LANGUAGE SECTIONS: ITEMS OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HOUSE AND SENATE

		<u>FY 2009-10</u>				
		<u>FY 2008-09</u> <u>Year-To-Date</u> <u>P.A. 255 of 2008</u>	<u>Governor</u>	<u>House</u>	<u>Senate</u>	<u>Conference</u>
8.	<p>Indian Tuition Waivers. Requires each community college to report the following to the Department of Labor and Economic Growth:</p> <p>(a) The number of North American Indian students enrolled each term for the previous fiscal year.</p> <p>(b) The number of Indian tuition waivers granted each term, and the monetary value of the waivers for the previous fiscal year.</p> <p>Colleges shall use the criteria cited in 1976 PA 174, MCL 390.1251 to 390.1253, to determine eligibility for tuition waivers, and shall grant those waivers to individuals who meet the criteria and request tuition waivers. Requires DELEG to compile the information received and submit this compilation to the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Community Colleges, the Senate and House fiscal agencies, and the State Budget Director by January 7.</p> <p>The House added a new subsection (4) that encourages community colleges to consider whether the use of the term "Indian" as a descriptive term for Michigan tribal issues involving community colleges is appropriate. The Senate did not include the House change. The Conference concurred with the Senate.</p>	Sec. 506	Sec. 506	Sec. 506 Modified	Sec. 506	Sec. 506
9.	<p>Community College Unrestricted Assets. The House added new language that requires community colleges with unrestricted assets equal to or more than 25.7% of overall annual operating revenue to prepare a report outlining the college's intended purpose for carrying the unrestricted assets.</p>	Not Included	Not Included	Sec. 514	Not Included	Not Included