

March 6, 2018:

Dear OfcSMCC:

I strongly oppose SB 637. I am inclined to believe that our Representatives and Senators who are supposed to protect us are not doing their job. If you were you would be reading independent research concerning all this radiation being poured upon us and how it is negatively affecting our bodies. You could start with the Navy's research in the 1970's. You would be listening very attentively to all the peoples' complaints testifying in the committees, and voicing it on your phones and in your e-mails, who have been injured by smart meters, etc. You should support the health of the people instead of letting us be used as guinea pigs. I grew up on a farm and used an outside toilet for about 10 years before we got a chemical toilet followed by today's innovation. I remember as a little girl going to the outside toilet at night in boots too big for me and losing one of them in the snow and ending up with a very cold foot. (I probably made sure my boots were the right size and zipped up thereafter). Therefore, to me the economy is only important in as far as it doesn't destroy our health and happiness, because I would rather have cold feet once in a while instead of what I have to endure today being a victim of microwave sickness. In other words, the decision should involve the risk/reward ratio, and the reward should be directed toward the people rather than the corporations.

I'm not only a prisoner in my own house because of smart meters (my neighbors) and other wireless devices but I can't even use my family room where my comfortable chairs are. I have an RF meter and the radio radiation is continuous in there from my neighbor's smart meter and I am allergic to it. I developed a pancreatic duct tumor from sitting in there and in order to live with no pain, I have to stay out of there. Consumers Energy and the MPSC have known of this problem I have with smart meters for over a year and have not been willing to work with me, in spite of all my correspondence with them.

Many people are being affected by this wireless technology which is beginning to be known as the 21st century disease, which involves certain symptoms. They are not immediately sensitive to it like I am so they are not aware it is doing them harm. This is what the Bioinitiative Working Group reported:

In 2007, the Bioinitiative Working Group, an international collaboration of prestigious scientists and public health policy experts from the United States, Sweden, Denmark, Austria, and China, released a 650-page report citing more than 2,000 studies (many very recent) that detail the toxic effects of EMFs from all sources. Chronic exposure to even low-level radiation (like that from cell phones), the scientists concluded, can cause a variety of cancers, impair immunity, and contribute to Alzheimer's disease and dementia, heart disease, and many other ailments. "We now have a critical mass of evidence, and it gets stronger every day," says David Carpenter, MD, director of the Institute for Health and the Environment at the University at Albany and coauthor of the public-health chapters of the Bioinitiative report. (They also have a 2012 Bioinitiative Report)

Therefore, I urge the committee not to fast track this legislation and wait for new studies coming out that show direct links between 5G technology and serious health effects. Why would this bill make accommodation for historical districts and not address accommodations for public safety? Please do your just duty by being informed by independent researchers before allowing 5G. And as an afterthought, is anyone concerned with our food supply if our honey bees will be extinguished?

Sincerely,

Barbara Savoie

1194 Lexa Lane
Flint, MI 48507